Unification of Fundamental Interactions

Hans Peter Nilles

Physikalisches Institut

Universität Bonn

Standard Model

We have a standard model of elementary particle physics.
It is based on

- gauge symmetries $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- three families of quarks and leptons
- a scalar Higgs boson

It is extremely successful,

- but there are many free parameters
- and some open questions.

Is there physics beyond the standard model?

Outline

- The Standard Model (SM)
- Three basic questions
- Reasons to go beyond the SM
- Grand unification and supersymmetry
- The beauty of $SO(10)$
- Some group theory
- Strong motivation for E_8
- String theory and extra dimensions
- How to test?

History

- Gravity 1915
- Quantum Electrodynmics (QED) ca. 1950
- Yang-Mills theory for weak interactions 1954
- "Higgs" mechanism 1964
- Electroweak standard model 1967
- Renormalizability of nonabelian gauge theories ca. 1972
- Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) ca 1973
- Discovery of gauge bosons $W^{\pm}$ and Z^0 1983
- Discovery of Higgs boson 2012

Standard Model



A family of quarks and leptons

The gauge group is $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$

$$(u_\alpha, d_\alpha)_{Y=1/6} \qquad (\nu_e, e)_{Y=-1/2}$$

$$(\bar{u}_\alpha)_{Y=-2/3} \qquad (\bar{e})_{Y=1}$$

$$(\bar{d}_\alpha)_{Y=1/3}$$

with $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ the $SU(3)$-index.
Observe that

$$\sum_i Y_i = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_i Y_i^3 = 0$$

A family of quarks and leptons

The gauge group is $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$

$$(u_\alpha, d_\alpha)_{Y=1/6} \qquad (\nu_e, e)_{Y=-1/2}$$

$$(\bar{u}_\alpha)_{Y=-2/3} \qquad (\bar{e})_{Y=1}$$

$$(\bar{d}_\alpha)_{Y=1/3} \qquad (\bar{\nu})_{Y=0}$$

with $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ the $SU(3)$-index.
Observe that

$$\sum_i Y_i = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_i Y_i^3 = 0$$

Three basic questions

Some fundamental questions remain unanswered

- The origin of the structure of a family?
- Why three copies ?
  Question of I. Rabi: who ordered the muon?
- Why gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$?

and require physics beyond the Standard Model

Three basic questions

Some fundamental questions remain unanswered

- The origin of the structure of a family?
- Why three copies ?
  Question of I. Rabi: who ordered the muon?
- Why gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$?

and require physics beyond the Standard Model

Some other reasons to go beyond the SM

- dark matter of the universe
- baryon asymmetry
- neutrino oscillations

The Quest for Unification



Standard Model



Supersymmetric SM



Susy thresholds



New particles



Supersymmetry

Unification of matter and radiation

- consistent with grand unification
- stabilizes the weak scale
- provides candidates for dark matter
- allows for a mechanism of baryogenesis

Supersymmetry

Unification of matter and radiation

- consistent with grand unification
- stabilizes the weak scale
- provides candidates for dark matter
- allows for a mechanism of baryogenesis

Preferred grand unified gauge groups

- $SO(10)$ and $SU(5)$ include $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- explain the structure of families of quarks and leptons
  - $\bar{5}$ + 10 representations of $SU(5)$
  - 16-dimensional spinor representation of $SO(10)$

Binary code for quarks and leptons

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5) \quad \text{with} \quad n_i = 0, 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i n_i = \text{even}$$

- $(1, 1, 1, 1, 0)$ 5 combinations
  - $(1, 1, 0; 1, 1)$ $\bar{d}$
  - $(1, 1, 1; 0, 1)$ (ν_e, e)
- $(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ 10 combinations
  - $(1, 1, 0; 0, 0)$ $\bar{u}$
  - $(1, 0, 0; 1, 0)$ (u, d)
  - $(0, 0, 0; 1, 1)$ $\bar{e}$
- $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ 1 combination $\bar{\nu}_e$

Basic questions: where are we?

We have made some pogress.

- The origin of the structure of a family:
  answer is 16-dim. spinor representation of $SO(10)$
- Why three copies: not known yet,
  but group theory is proven to be unsuccessful.
- Why $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$:
  is replaced by: why $SO(10)$?

Basic questions: where are we?

We have made some pogress.

- The origin of the structure of a family:
  answer is 16-dim. spinor representation of $SO(10)$
- Why three copies: not known yet,
  but group theory is proven to be unsuccessful.
- Why $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$:
  is replaced by: why $SO(10)$?

Why SO(10)?

- nothing special as it is a member of an infinite series
- the same holds for $SU(5)$

Dynkin diagrams



Lie groups come in 4 infinite series $SU(N)$, $SP(2N)$, $SO(2N+1)$, $SO(2N)$ and 5 exceptional groups.

Not all of them are useful for grand unification as they do not provide chiral representation to explain parity violation of weak interactions.

Simply Laced Lie Groups



Maximal Group E_8



E_8 is the maximal group.

There are, however, no chiral representations in $d = 4$.

E_7



Next smaller is E_7.

No chiral representations in $d = 4$ either.

E_6



E_6 allows for chiral representations even in $d = 4$.

$E_5 = D_5$



E_5 is usually not called exceptional.

It coincides with $D_5 = SO(10)$.

$E_4 = A_4$



E_4 coincides with $A_4 = SU(5)$.

E_3



E_3 coincides with $A_2 \times A_1$ which is $SU(3) \times SU(2)$.

Strong motivation for E_8

E_8 would require higher dimensions

- E_8 is strongly motivated from string theory ($E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string and M/F theory)
- E_8 has chiral representations in $d = 8n + 2$
- String theory requires $d = 10$
- E_8 broken in process of compactification (e.g. to E_5)

Strong motivation for E_8

E_8 would require higher dimensions

- E_8 is strongly motivated from string theory ($E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string and M/F theory)
- E_8 has chiral representations in $d = 8n + 2$
- String theory requires $d = 10$
- E_8 broken in process of compactification (e.g. to E_5)

String theory would give a consistent completion of SM

- consistent theory of quantum gravity
- unification of all interactions

The Quest for Unification



Three basic questions, again

Some basic questions are answered.

- The origin of the structure of a family:
  answer is 16-dim representation of $SO(10)$
- Why three copies:
  topological properties of compactified extra dimensions
- Why $SO(10)$?
  It is the grand-grand daughter E_5 from E_8.

Three basic questions, again

Some basic questions are answered.

- The origin of the structure of a family:
  answer is 16-dim representation of $SO(10)$
- Why three copies:
  topological properties of compactified extra dimensions
- Why $SO(10)$?
  It is the grand-grand daughter E_5 from E_8.

These questions cannot be answered within the SM!

- need new experimental input
- theoretical constructions that include quantum gravity

Physics beyond the SM

Standard model is incomplete

- problems with unification
- dark matter
- baryogenesis
- inclusion of gravity

Physics beyond the SM

Standard model is incomplete

- problems with unification
- dark matter
- baryogenesis
- inclusion of gravity

There must be new physics somewhere.

- Where is it?
- Is it at the TeV scale?
- Why is there no signal yet at the LHC?

LHC and physics beyond SM



Strong constraints from the Higgs mass of 126 GeV.
The coloured regions are excluded while the hatched region indicates the current reach of the LHC.

Benchmark model



The quest for “Precision Susy”

Two important arguments for supersymmetry

- solution to the hierarchy problem
- gauge coupling unification

We want to take these two arguments as serious as possible and reanalyze the MSSM within this scheme. We make two assumptions:

- demand precision gauge unification
- require smallest supersymmetric masses possible

What are the consequences for the search at LHC?

Susy thresholds



Precision gauge unification

$$\frac{1}{g_i^2(M_{\mathsf{GUT}})} = \frac{1}{g_i^2(M_Z)} - \frac{b_i^{\mathsf{MSSM}}}{8\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{M_{\mathsf{GUT}}}{M_Z}\right) + \frac{1}{g_{i,\mathsf{Thr}}^2}$$

Low scale thresholds:

$$\frac{1}{g_{i,\mathsf{Thr}}^2} = \frac{b_i^{\mathsf{MSSM}} - b_i^{\mathsf{SM}}}{8\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{M_{\mathsf{SUSY}}}{M_Z}\right)$$

The measure for gauge unification:

$$\epsilon_3 = \frac{g_3^2(M_{\mathsf{GUT}}) - g_{1,2}^2(M_{\mathsf{GUT}})}{g_{1,2}^2(M_{\mathsf{GUT}})}$$

Unification versus M_{SUSY}



M_{SUSY} should thus be in the few-TeV range.

The Susy-Scale

If all supersymmetric partners have the same mass M, then $M_{SUSY} = M$.
For non-universal masses we have an effective scale:

$$M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim \frac{m_{\widetilde{W}}^{32/19}\, m_{\widetilde{h}}^{12/19}\, m_H^{3/19}}{m_{\widetilde{g}}^{28/19}}\, X_{\text{sfermion}}$$

with

$$X_{\text{sfermion}} = \prod_{i=1\ldots3} \left(\frac{m_{\widetilde{L}^{(i)}}^{3/19}}{m_{\widetilde{D}^{(i)}}^{3/19}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\widetilde{Q}_{\text{L}}^{(i)}}^{7/19}}{m_{\widetilde{E}^{(i)}}^{2/19}\, m_{\widetilde{U}^{(i)}}^{5/19}} \right)$$

LHC limits are weak



Dark Matter Relic Density



Distribution of thermal neutralino relic density for the benchmark sample with (solid) or without (dashed) the assumption of precision gauge coupling unification.

Limits from direct detection



Direct detection experiments might check the scheme.

Conclusions

The quest for unification

- requires new physics (like e.g. supersymmetry)

Basic questions could be answered

- family as a 16-dim spinor of $SO(10)$
- $SO(10)$ as the grand-grand daughter of E_8
- extra dimensions explain repetition of families

Consequences:

- search for new physics remains necessary
- we need new (experimental or theoretical) input!

LHC and the Higgs mass



Strong constraints from the Higgs mass of 126 GeV.
The coloured regions are excluded while the hatched region indicates the current reach of the LHC.
Supersymmetry might be hidden.

LHC Limits are (still) weak



The LHC shows us where to go