

---

## Exercises on Elementary Particle Physics II

Prof. Dr. H.-P. Nilles

### 1. Lie groups and Lie algebras - a first example

This exercise is designed to gain an intuitive understanding of Lie groups and algebras by generalizing well-known concepts encountered in explicit considerations.

Consider the special unitary group  $SU(2) := \{g \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}) | g^\dagger = g^{-1}, \det g = 1\}$ .

- (a) Show that  $SU(2) \cong S^3$ . *Hint: Find an equation constraining the parameter space of  $g$  to  $S^3$  as a submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .*
- (b) Introduce spherical coordinates on  $S^3$  to infer

$$g(\omega, \theta, \phi) = \cos(\omega) \cdot e + i(\vec{\omega}_0 \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \sin(\omega), \quad \vec{\omega}_0 \in S^2,$$

with  $\vec{\omega}_0 = (\cos(\theta)\cos(\phi), \cos(\theta)\sin(\phi), \sin(\theta))^T$ . What is the range of the parameters  $x^i := (\omega, \theta, \phi)$ ? What is the geometrical locus of the  $\vec{\omega} := \omega \cdot \vec{\omega}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ? The  $\sigma_i$  are the Pauli matrices defined by

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

They form a basis of the real vector space of hermitian traceless matrices.

- (c) Check that every  $g \in SU(2)$  obeys the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \omega} = i(\vec{\omega}_0 \cdot \vec{\sigma}) g.$$

Integrate this to determine the solution

$$g(\vec{\omega}) = e^{i\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}$$

and calculate  $\left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \omega^i} \right|_{\vec{\omega}=\vec{0}}$ .

*Hint: Do not forget to use the initial conditions.*

Let us recapitulate our observations. First we showed that  $SU(2)$  is, besides its group properties, also a non-trivial geometrical object. Then, we exploited this fact by introducing coordinates on  $SU(2) \cong S^3$ . Finally, we combined the realization of  $SU(2)$

as matrices with well-defined multiplication and a certain differential equation to find a (local) parametrization of any  $g \in \text{SU}(2)$  in terms of very local data, namely  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega^i} \Big|_0 g \in T_e(\text{SU}(2))$ . This is the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  of  $\text{SU}(2)$ . The whole program above relied just on the geometric structure of  $\text{SU}(2)$  and the combination with its algebraic properties as a group.

This is, what lies at the heart of the theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, in general.

## 2. From Lie groups to representations - glimpse with $\text{SU}(2)$

- A **Lie group**  $G$  is a group endowed with the structure of a differentiable manifold such that the operations

$$(a) \cdot : G \times G \rightarrow G, \quad (g, h) \mapsto g \cdot h$$

$$(b) {}^{-1} : G \rightarrow G, \quad g \mapsto g^{-1}$$

are differential maps of differentiable manifolds.

Using coordinates  $x^i$  on  $G$  we are able to define the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_0 g =: T_i$  of the tangent space  $\mathfrak{g} := T_e G$  at the identity  $e \in G$ . The  $T_i$  are called **generators of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$**  that has the following structure.

- A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector space (from  $T_e G$ ) together with a binary operation

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

satisfying the conditions:

(a) It is bilinear, i.e. linear in both entries. (-Up to here,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.-)

(b) It is skew-symmetric:  $[a, b] = -[b, a]$  for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$

(c) It fulfills the Jacobi identity:  $[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$  for  $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{g}$

It can be shown that  $g = e^{ix^i T_i}$ , at least locally. Hence, it makes sense to analyse (local) properties of  $G$  and its representations in terms of its corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is a lot easier to deal with.

- A **representation  $\rho$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$**  on a vector space  $V$  is a mapping

$$\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

which is an algebra homomorphism, i.e. it is a homomorphism of vector spaces and fulfills  $\rho([a, b]) = [\rho(a), \rho(b)]$ ,  $\forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

The dimension of  $V$  is called the **dimension of the representation  $\rho$** :  $\dim(\rho) := \dim(V)$ .

If there is a vector space  $W \subset V$  so that  $\rho(W) \subset W$ , then the representation is called **reducible** and  $W$  is called the invariant subspace. If there are only  $W = \{0\}$ ,  $V$  with this property then the representation  $\rho$  is called **irreducible**. In other words: a representation is irreducible, iff the only invariant subspace is  $V$  itself ( $\{0\}$  is trivial).

Let us consider the example of  $su(2)$  once again.

- (a) Show in a slightly more abstract way that  $su(2)$  is the set of all traceless hermitian matrices and prove that it is a Lie algebra.

*Hint:  $\det A = \exp \operatorname{Tr} \log A$  and set  $[A, B] := A \cdot B - B \cdot A$ .*

- (b) Perform a complex basis change from the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$  to

$$J_3 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_3, \quad J_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2), \quad J_- = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 - i\sigma_2),$$

and verify the commutation relations

$$[J_3, J_+] = J_+, \quad [J_3, J_-] = -J_-, \quad [J_+, J_-] = 2J_3.$$

Next, we aim for classifying **all irreducible, finite-dimensional representations**  $\rho$  of  $su(2)$  on a vector space  $V$ . Thus, it is important to note that  $\rho(J_i)$ ,  $i = 3, +, -$ , are  $n \times n$ -matrices with  $n := \dim(V)$  and  $n \neq 0$  in general.

- (c) Since  $J_3$  is diagonal,  $\rho(J_3)$  can also be chosen to be diagonal. Therefore  $V$  can be decomposed into eigenspaces of  $\rho(J_3)$ ,

$$V = \bigoplus V_\alpha,$$

where  $\alpha$  labels the eigenvalue of  $\rho(J_3)$ , i.e.

$$(\rho(J_3))v = \alpha v, \quad v \in V_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$

(shorthand: write  $J_i$  for  $\rho(J_i)$ ). Show that  $J_+(v) \in V_{\alpha+1}$  and  $J_-(v) \in V_{\alpha-1}$ .

- (d) Prove that all complex eigenvalues  $\alpha$  which appear in the above decomposition differ from one another by 1.

*Hint: Choose an arbitrary  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  from the decomposition and prove that*

$$\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\alpha_0+k} \subset V$$

*is indeed equal to  $V$  using the irreducibility of the representation.*

- (e) Argue that there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $V_{\alpha_0+k} \neq 0$  and  $V_{\alpha_0+k+1} = 0$ . Define  $n := \alpha_0 + k$ . Note that up to now, we only know that  $n \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Draw a diagram. Write the vector spaces  $V_{n-2}$ ,  $V_{n-1}$  and  $V_n$  in a row and indicate the action of  $J_3$ ,  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  on these vector spaces by arrows.

The eigenvalue  $n$  is called highest weight and a vector  $v \in V_n$  is called highest weight vector. Is it clear why?

- (f) Choose an arbitrary vector  $v \in V_n$  (highest weight vector). Prove that the vectors  $v, J_-v, J_-^2v, \dots$  span  $V$ .

*Hint: Show that the vector space spanned by these vectors is invariant under the action of  $J_3$ ,  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  and use the irreducibility of the representation.*

- (g) Argue that all eigenspaces  $V_\alpha$  are 1-dimensional.  
 (h) Prove that  $n$  is a non-negative integer or half-integer and that

$$V = V_{-n} \oplus \dots \oplus V_n .$$

Complement your diagram drawn in part (e). What is the dimension of  $V$ ?

*Hint: The representation is finite dimensional, so there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $J_-^{m-1}v \neq 0$  and  $J_-^m v = 0$ . Evaluate the product  $J_+ J_-^m v$ .*

- (i) Decompose the tensor product of a 2-dimensional and a 3-dimensional irreducible representation of  $su(2)$ ,

$$V = V^{(2)} \otimes V^{(3)},$$

into two irreducible representations of dimension two and four:  $\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{2} \oplus \mathbf{4}$ .

*Hint: For the definition of a tensor product of two representations see 3. (b). Note that the eigenvalue of  $J_3$  on  $V$  is the sum of the eigenvalues of  $J_3$  on  $V^{(2)}$  and  $V^{(3)}$ . Draw the diagrams of the eigenvalues (with multiplicities). Then use the fact that the eigenspaces of  $J_3$  on an irreducible representations are all 1-dimensional to show that  $V$  is reducible.*

### 3. Elementary constructions of representations

Using the fact that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  closes under  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  we have an expansion

$$[T_i, T_j] = i f_{ijk} T_k, \quad \forall T_i \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (1)$$

where the coefficients  $f_{ijk}$  are called *structure constants* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .<sup>1</sup>

- (a) Determine the structure constants of  $su(2)$  in the  $\sigma_i$  basis. Compare this with the algebra of the angular momentum operators (or  $so(3)$ ).

As a representation  $\rho$  preserves the entire structure of the Lie algebra the representation matrices have to obey (1). Thus, this is an equivalent way to define a representation. Let us construct new representations out of old ones.

- (b) Let  $(\rho_1, V_1)$ ,  $(\rho_2, V_2)$  be two representations. Prove that  $\rho_\oplus(T_i) := \rho_1(T_i) \oplus \rho_2(T_i)$ ,  $\rho_\otimes(T_i) := \rho_1(T_i) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \rho_2(T_i)$  define representations on  $V_1 \oplus V_2$ ,  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ , respectively.  
 (c) Prove that  $ad(T_i)_{kj} := i f_{ijk}$  defines a representation  $(ad, \mathfrak{g})$  called the **adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$** . Abstractly, this is given by  $ad : X \mapsto [X, \cdot], \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$ .  
 (d) Show using equation (1) that  $\bar{\rho}(T_i) := -\rho(T_i)^*$  defines a representation called the **complex conjugate representation of  $\rho$** .  $\rho$  is said to be **real** if it is equivalent<sup>2</sup> to its complex conjugate  $\bar{\rho}$ .

<sup>1</sup>The  $i$  is necessary to guarantee a consistent expression for hermitian (representations of) generators  $T_i$  as needed for unitary representations. Strictly speaking,  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  doesn't close anymore in  $\mathfrak{g}$  as  $[T_i, T_j]$  is antihermitian for hermitian  $T_i$ . This is the discrepancy between mathematicians and physicists.

<sup>2</sup> $(\rho_1, V_1)$ ,  $(\rho_2, V_2)$  are called equivalent if there is a vector space isomorphism  $\alpha : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  obeying  $\rho_1(X) = \alpha^{-1} \circ \rho_2(X) \circ \alpha, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$ .